UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/529,773	06/30/2000	Anil K. Agarwal	A7046	3512
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER	
			DEAN, RAYMOND S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	09/529,773	AGARWAL ET AL.			
mierview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	RAYMOND S. DEAN	2618			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>RAYMOND S. DEAN</u> .	(3)				
(2) Quadeer Ahmed (60,835).	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>13 June 2008</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 5</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Strodtbeck (5,864,547), Vannatta (5,999,832), Washburn (4,999,583).					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <a 22="" a="" ahmed="" allowable="" amended="" are="" be="" claim="" claims="" dean="" examiner="" further="" href="Examiner Dean indicated to Mr. Ahmed that the limitations of claim 22 overcome the prior art of record." if="" independent="" indicated="" limitations="" mr.="" of="" pending="" search.<="" that="" the="" to="" with="" would=""> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	/Raymond S Dean/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 26 Examiner's signature, if requi				

Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)